

1 THOMAS HR DENVER (56872)
2 Mediation Masters
3 96 North Third Street
4 Suite 300
5 San Jose, CA 95112

6 Telephone: (408) 280-7883
7 Facsimile: (408) 292-7868

8 Special Master

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 LANGUAGE LINE SERVICES, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 LANGUAGE SERVICES ASSOCIATES,
16 LLC, et al.,

17 Defendants.

No. C 10-02605 JW

DISCOVERY ORDER NO. 3

18 Defendant, Language Service Associates, Inc.'s, "Request for Special Master's
19 Recommendation That LSA Can Communicate With National Grid" (an entity which
20 appears on plaintiff's Trade Secret list) was served on the Special Master and on plaintiff's
21 counsel at 6:53 p.m. on December 2, 2010. In response thereto, the Special Master set up
22 an informal telephone conference for December 3, 2010. In the course of that telephone
23 conference, counsel for both sides were given the opportunity to be heard. Following that
24 informal hearing, the following interim order was issued:

25 Plaintiff, Language Line, may file an opposing brief on or before noon, December 9,
26 2010. If plaintiff believes that discovery is necessary in order to fully respond to the
27
28

1 pending motion, it may so state in its opposition and set out what discovery it presently
2 believes will be required.

3 The Special Master advised counsel that in view of the pending December 13, 2010
4 hearing on defendant, LSA's, objection to recommended Discovery Order No. 2, he would
5 not rule on the present motion until the Court had issued its ruling on the objection.
6

7 Defendant, LSA, requested permission to allow a potential customer, National Grid,
8 to arrange for site inspections at defendant's facilities as part of a presently ongoing
9 bidding process. The Special Master ordered that no such site visit could be arranged
10 pending further order on the pending motion and the Court's Order on the objection to
11 recommended Order No. 2.

12 Defendant, LSA, was advised that, if it chose, it could respond to National Grid's
13 email requesting a site visit by stating that it was not in a position to do so, pending further
14 order of Court. Defendant's counsel was instructed to submit the language of any such
15 email to the Special Master and to plaintiff's counsel before sending it to National Grid.
16

17 The Special Master notes that while defendant, LSA, asserts that the presently
18 pending motion is of the greatest urgency, LSA was aware of the pending problem no later
19 than July 30, 2010 (as set out in the declaration of defendant's declarant, Eric Hope) but
20 did not raise this issue until December 2, 2010.

21 The parties have ten days from the date of this Order to file any objection.
22
23

24 Dated: December 3, 2010

_____/s/ Thomas HR Denver
THOMAS HR DENVER